TAPROBANICA, ISSN 1800–427X. November, 2020. Vol. 09, No. 02: pp. 222–224, pl. 61–62. © Research Center for Climate Change and Department of Biology, Faculty of Mathematics & Natural Sciences, University of Indonesia, Depok 16424, INDONESIA.

http://www.taprobanica.org/ https://doi.org/10.47605/tapro.v9i2.236



Distribution of two endemic bufonids (Anura: Bufonidae) in the Western Ghats

The Anuran family Bufonidae Gray, 1825 is represented by four genera in peninsular India: Duttaphrynus Frost, Grant, Faivovich et al., 2006; Xanthophryne Biju, Van Bocxlaer, Giri et al., 2009; Ghatophryne Biju, Van Bocxlaer, Giri et al., 2009; and Pedostibes Günther, 1876. Of these, the three latter genera are endemic to the Western Ghats (Chandramouli & Amarasinghe 2016, Frost 2020). These endemic bufonid genera are represented by two, two and one species respectively. Though the distribution patterns of these endemic toads have been documented in the past, precise published records are few. Reddy et al. (2002) reported a range extension of G. ornata (Günther, 1876) from Kudremukh. The distribution of P. tuberculosus Günther, 1876 was mapped by Dinesh & Radhakrishnan (2008). Likewise, Subramanian et al. (2013) presented a point distribution map for G. ornata and G. rubigina (Pillai & Pattabiraman, 1981). However, further precise distribution records of these endemic taxa are still in need of a proper appraisal. In this paper, I present point maps of the distributions of G. ornata and P. tuberculosus and reassess their conservation status based on the IUCN criteria.

In addition to the original field observations of P. tuberculosus and G. ornata, available records of these species were obtained from the platform <www.inaturalist.org>, online combined and subsequently mapped. These records (all but one observation of each species flagged to be of research grade) were subsequently verified by the author based on the photographs linked to each of these observations. Thus, put together, a total of 12 geographic location points of G. ornata and 31 of P. tuberculosus were compiled and mapped using ARC MAP V.10. The occurrence points were then subjected to predictive distribution modeling using MAXENT v. 3.3.3 (Phillips et al. 2006). For making predictions of probable occurrences of the target species, climatic data were downloaded from the Worldclim database (Hijmans et al. 2005), which comprises 19 bioclimatic variables and one topographic variable (altitude). Results of the predictions thus obtained were mapped as likely occurrences and visualized with DIVA GIS ver. 7.5. The validity of the predictions was assessed based on Area Under the receiver operating the characteristic Curve (AUC). Based on these predictions and the geo-coordinates of their occurrences, the possible extent of occurrence and the exact areas of occupancy of the target species were determined (derived from Geocat: http://geocat.kew.org/). These were then used as variables to assess the status of G. ornata and P. tuberculosus as per the IUCN Guidelines version 3.1 (IUCN 2017).

Ghatophryne ornata (Fig. 1), the relatively more range-restricted species among the two studied here, was observed to show a narrow geographic range with an estimated extent of occurrence of 5,093.4 km² and an area of occupancy of 48 km². It is restricted to the southern extremity of the central Western Ghats, between the coordinates 11.43°-13.27° N. and 75.15°–76.16° E, predominantly along the easterly leeward slopes in the mid to high elevation belt between 870-1,773 m a.s.l. This region covers the Vellarimala, Wayanad, Brahmagiri, Pushpagiri and the Kudremukh hill ranges of the central Western Ghats. In the MAXENT distribution model, the parameters, temperature seasonality, annual mean temperature and precipitation of the coldest quarter showed a high positive influence while the other parameters related to temperature, precipitation and topography showed low to no influence on the predicted probability of its occurrence (Table 1, Fig. 2). This indicates that the species prefers areas characterized by low temperature and high precipitation. This species is associated with torrential stream habitats showing the above characteristics. The AUC of the model developed for G. ornata was 0.999.

The other endemic bufonid, *P. tuberculosus* (Fig. 3), on the other hand, showed a relatively much wider geographic distribution spanning nearly most parts of the Western Ghats from the southern extremity in the Agasthyamalai Hill Range 8.54°-17.51° N, to the northern part of the Western Ghats between 73.91°-77.54° E. This covered an estimated extent of occurrence of about 54,388.5 km² with an area of occupancy of 116 km². This low estimated area of occupancy within a wide extent of occurrence may well be a sampling artefact, and P. tuberculosus may well be widespread in intervening areas with suitable environmental conditions. The altitudinal range of *P*. tuberculosus ranged from 38–1,052 m a.s.l. The species was recorded from the following hill Agasthyamalai, Ponmudi ranges: Hills. Cardamom Hills. Anaimalai. Siruvani. Vellarimala, Brahmagiri Hills, Pushpagiri Hills, Kudremukh and Sharavati River Valley through Goa to the Koyna Hills in the Northern Western Ghats. The MAXENT model predicted its distribution nearly throughout the Western Ghats, with significant positive influence from precipitation of the wettest month followed by isothermality. The other parameters pertaining to temperature, precipitation and topography had very low influence on the prediction (Table 1, Fig. 4). This is indicative of its preference to generally characterized areas bv high precipitation, as can be seen from its preference to evergreen forests. The AUC of the model developed for P. tuberculosus was 0.994.

The present study has addressed the lacuna in precision of the distribution patterns of two of the five endemic bufonid species of the Western Ghats. The remaining species, G. rubigina, Xanthophryne koynaensis (Soman, 1963) and X. tigerinus Biju, Van Bocxlaer, Giri et al., 2009 are still in need of further studies. Among them, G. rubigina is known from only a very few localities (Subramanian et al. 2013), while members of the genus Xanthophryne occupy a rather open and relatively large contiguous landscape in the northern part of the Western Ghats. Though members of the genus Duttaphrynus also constitute some endemic species in the Western Ghats, the genus, as a whole, has a wide distribution range, unlike the endemic lineages dealt with herein. The evolutionary uniqueness (Biju et al. 2009, Chan et al. 2016) and restricted distribution of these focal species studied here make them ideal models for investigation of their distribution

patterns. Both species are largely stenotopic, and are sensitive to habitat disturbances / alterations (pers. obs.). Hence, it would be useful to assess the suitability of the habitat available for them to occupy.

Table 1: Percentage contribution of bioclimatic andphysiographicvariables(for parameters ofabbreviations see Hijmans et al. 2005) to the modelfor Ghatophryne ornata and Pedostibes tuberculosus

	G. ornata		P. tuberculosus	
Variable (see Hijmans <i>et al.</i> 2005)	% contribution	permutation importance	% contribution	permutation importance
Alt	0	0	1.4	0.6
Bio 1	19.4	0	0	0
Bio 2	7.1	6.3	0.1	0.2
Bio 3	0.7	1.3	21.8	1.5
Bio 4	44.7	87.4	4	92.5
Bio 5	0	0	0	0
Bio 6	0	0	0.6	0.5
Bio 7	1.7	0	0.7	2.6
Bio 8	2.9	4.5	0	0
Bio 9	0	0	0	0
Bio 10	0	0	0	0
Bio 11	0	0	0.5	0.2
Bio 12	0	0	3.4	0.1
Bio 13	4.4	0	63.3	0
Bio 14	4.8	0	1.3	0.1
Bio 15	0	0	0	0
Bio 16	0	0	0.4	0.3
Bio 17	0.4	0.1	0.7	0.1
Bio 18	0.8	0.4	1.6	1.3
Bio 19	13.1	0	0.2	0

Pedostibes tuberculosus, being an arboreal species is relatively more widespread than G. ornata, which, on the other hand, shows exclusive association with fast-flowing stream habitats. Despite the availability of such potentially viable stream habitats throughout most parts of the Western Ghats, G. ornata shows a restricted distribution only to parts of the mid-high elevation regions in the central Western Ghats. Even for P. tuberculosus, the distribution fragmented is into several discontinuous patches. This may be explained by the lack of sufficient surveys in intervening areas of apparently suitable habitat and it is probable that further surveys in regions within the Western Ghats predicted to be suitable (the red, orange and yellow shaded areas in Fig. 4) could reveal new locality records for P. tuberculosus. As predicted by the model, both these species show a high sensitivity to

Plate 61



Figure 1. A live male of Ghatophryne ornata (not collected) from the Western Ghats, Karnataka, India



Figure 2. The distribution range of *Ghatophryne ornata*; recorded (squares) and predicted (shaded).

Plate 62



Figure 3. A live male of *Pedostibes tuberculosus* (not collected) from the Western Ghats, Karnataka, India.



Figure 4. The distribution range of *Pedostibes tuberculosus*; recorded (circles) and predicted (shaded).

precipitation as a predictor of their occurrence, it would be essential to protect forests with high annual rainfall. Based on the implications drawn from the mapping and modeling exercise done herein, it would be ideal to retain *G. ornata* as an endangered species as currently classified (Biju *et al.* 2004a) while *P. tuberculosus* could be downgraded from Endangered (Biju *et al.* 2004b) as it won't qualify as threatened applying extent of occurrence and information is insufficient to confidently determine its area of occupancy, which is expected to be greater than the value calculated from known records, considering its relatively wider geographic distribution.

Acknowledgements

I thank the "iNaturalist" platform for serving as a repository and aiding in the documentation of field observations by people ranging from photographers and nature enthusiasts to researchers. I also thank Nikolay A. Poyarkov (Lomonosov Moscow State University, Russia) for reviewing the manuscript.

Literature cited

- Biju, S.D., S.K. Dutta, and M.S. Ravichandran (2004a). *Ghatophryne ornata. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2004*: e.T54478A11138528. Accessed on 12 September 2020.
- Biju, S.D., S.K. Dutta, R.F. Inger, and V.A. Gour-Broome (2004b). *Pedostibes tuberculosus. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2004*: e.T16470A5918772. Accessed on 12 September 2020.
- Biju, S.D., I. Van Bocxlaer, V.B. Giri, S.P. Loader, and F. Bossuyt (2009). Two new endemic genera and a new species of toad (Anura: Bufonidae) from the Western Ghats of India. *BMC Research Notes*, 2 (241): 1–10.
- Chan, K.O., L.L. Grismer, A. Zachariah, R. Brown, and R.K. Abraham (2016). Polyphyly of Asian tree toads, Genus *Pedostibes* Günther, 1876 (Anura: Bufonidae), and the description of a new genus from Southeast Asia. *PLoS One*, 11: e0145903.
- Chandramouli, S.R. and A.A.T. Amarasinghe (2016). Taxonomic reassessment of the arboreal toad Genus *Pedostibes* Günther 1876 (Anura: Bufonidae) and some allied Oriental bufonid genera. *Herpetologica*, 72: 137–147.
- Dinesh, K.P. and C. Radhakrishnan (2008). New site records of the Malabar tree toad, *Pedostibes tuberculosus* Günther (Amphibia: Anura: Bufonidae), in Western Ghats, India.

Records of the Zoological Survey of India, 108: 9–12.

- Frost, D.R. (2020). *Amphibian Species of the World: An Online Reference*. Version 6.1. <https://amphibiansoftheworld.amnh.org/inde x.php> Accessed on 13 September 2020.
- Frost, D.R., T. Grant, J. Faivovich, R.H. Bain, A. Haas *et al.* (2006). The amphibian tree of life. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History*, 297: 1–370.
- Gray, J.E. (1825). A synopsis of the genera of reptiles and amphibia, with a description of some new species. *Annals of Philosophy*, 2 (10): 193–217.
- Günther, A.C.L.G. (1876). Third report on collections of Indian reptiles obtained by the British Museum. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London*, 1875: 567–577.
- Hijmans, R.J., S.E. Cameron, J.L. Parra, P.G. Jones, and A. Jarvis (2005). Very high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. *International Journal of Climatology*, 25: 1965–1978.
- IUCN Standards and Petitions Subcommittee (2017). *Guidelines for Using the IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria. Version 13.* Prepared by the Standards and Petitions Subcommittee. IUCN: 113 pp.
- Pillai, R.S. and R. Pattabiraman (1981). A new species of torrent toad (genus Ansonia) from Silent Valley, S. India. Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences. Section B (90): 203–208.
- Phillips, S.J., R.P. Anderson, and R.E. Schapire (2006). Maximum entropy modelling of species geographic distributions. *Ecological Modelling*, 190: 231–259.
- Reddy, A.H.M., K.V. Gururaja, M.S. Ravichandran, and S.V. Krishnamurthy (2002). Range extension of *Ansonia ornata* (Günther, 1875) and *Indirana brachytarsus* (Günther, 1875). *Hamadryad*, 26: 358–359.
- Soman, P.W. (1963). A new *Bufo* from Maharastra. *Journal of Biological Sciences*, 6: 73.
- Subramanian, K.A., K.P. Dinesh, and C. Radhakrishnan (2013). Atlas of Endemic Amphibians of Western Ghats. Zoological Survey of India, Kolkata: 238 pp.

Submitted: 13 September, Accepted: 31 October 2020 Section Editor: Philip Bowles

S.R. Chandramouli¹

¹Department of Ecology and Environmental Sciences, School of Life Sciences, Pondicherry University, India E-mail: findthesnakeman@gmail.com